



Where the Wild Things were is Where Humans are Now: an Overview

J. L. R. Abegão¹

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

Humanity is undergoing an unprecedented demographic transformation in that global population is rising from 2 billion in the 1920s to an expected 8 billion in the 2020s, an annual increase of roughly 80 million. The requirements of this expanding human population are strongly linked to depletion of wildlife and increasing difficulties facing both wildlife and environmental conservation efforts. I assess current and potential risks stemming from the environmental changes due to unchecked human population growth.

Keywords Overpopulation · Population growth · Habitat and wildlife conservation · Biodiversity · Environmental degradation

Introduction

The global human population has more than quadrupled since the beginning of the twentieth century and overall growth is expected to rise in future (Population Reference Bureau 2018; UNDESA 2017a). Official forecasts (Population Reference Bureau 2018) predict that growth will be unevenly distributed around the world: of the 2.3 billion additional individuals anticipated between 2019 and 2050, roughly 1.3 billion will be born in Africa, 0.7 in Asia and 0.3 in the rest of the world. Even though there are global achievements in reducing fertility rates, notably in developed nations (Pison 2017; Frejka 2017; UNDESA 2017b), population growth remains a legitimate concern, primarily in developing nations. However, countries that have attained below replacement fertility (BRF) of 2.1 births per woman have not fully recognized the inherent social and environmental benefits (Götmark *et al.* 2018) and are faced with decelerating economic growth and an ageing population of dependent individuals. Consequently, 62% have enacted pro-natal policies (Wong and Yeoh 2003; UNDESA 2017b), and others have turned to immigration to fuel population growth (Cafaro 2018).

The promotion of further population growth in countries with some of the highest carbon and the most significant ecological footprints (Global Footprint Network 2018; World Bank 2019) is not just environmentally detrimental but

morally questionable (Rieder 2017; Conly 2016). Human collective responsibility to the environment, in preserving wildlife, and to alleviate human suffering due to the effects of climate change that are predominantly attributable to affluence or wealth, creating extreme carbon inequality, has been widely recognized (Oxfam International 2015; Rieder 2016; Hubacek *et al.* 2017; Population Media Center 2018; Randers *et al.* 2018; UN Environment 2019; Vidal 2019). Ignoring the moral dimensions of migration and efforts to increase fertility, the environmental impacts of mass movement of peoples from low-income countries to higher-income countries are ultimately detrimental to global environmental sustainability (Cafaro and Staples 2012; Hickey *et al.* 2016; Kopnina and Washington 2016; Phillips *et al.* 2018; Frum 2019).

Population Growth as a Threat Multiplier to the Natural World

Although the size of the global human population has often been characterized as unsustainable in terms of its current and future ecological impacts, there are those who claim that human population growth will translate into benefits, such as higher educational levels, contributing to more solutions for the problems created by an otherwise unsustainable global population (e.g., Ord 2014; Itkowitz 2019). However, overall, the scientific consensus is that the current rate of human population growth is not sustainable (Daily and Ehrlich 1992; Union of Concerned Scientists 1992; Pimentel *et al.* 1994; Murtaugh and Schlax 2009; LeDoux 2009; Cafaro 2012; Ehrlich *et al.* 2012; Ripple *et al.* 2017; Bongaarts and O'Neill 2018; Kuhlemann 2018a, b) and is a root cause and a multiplicative agent in the ongoing global mass extinctions

✉ J. L. R. Abegão
john.lr.abegao@gmail.com

¹ Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Campo Grande 016, 1749-016 Lisbon, Portugal

of species (Stallings 2002; Ceballos *et al.* 2015, 2017). Population growth and economic development continue to be described as the primary causes for wildlife and land use loss (Zhou *et al.* 2018; Marques *et al.* 2019). The recent *Global Environment Outlook* from the United Nations reports:

“Human population dynamics or trends, particularly population pressure, and economic development have been acknowledged for many decades as the primary drivers of environmental change” (2019:6).

Human activities directly impacting 84% of Earth’s surface are rendering a quarter of the world’s vertebrate species vulnerable to extinction (Allan *et al.* 2019). Growing human populations contribute to a reduction in the biodiversity essential to food production as well as adversely impact ecosystem services provided by habitats (Song *et al.* 2018 ; FAO 2019). The need to satisfy human dietary requirements has already led to the decline or extinction of many animal and plant populations, precipitated ecosystem disruption, and promoted bio-homogeneity (Burkle *et al.* 2013; Machovina *et al.* 2015; Ceballos *et al.* 2017; Crist *et al.* 2017; Bar-On *et al.* 2018; Watson *et al.* 2018; WWF 2018).

Currently, roughly 40% of the planet’s ice-free land is used for agriculture and livestock, which has led to the global decline of wild animal populations (Cardillo *et al.* 2004; Foley *et al.* 2005; Machovina and Feeley 2014; Ripple *et al.* 2015; Ogutu *et al.* 2016; Roser and Ritchie 2019). Overfishing, along with global warming, has led to the serious depletion of marine resources worldwide (FAO 2018; Kroodsmas *et al.* 2018), and growing demand for cash and subsistence crops, such as soybeans or palm oil, is contributing to current deforestation in the tropics (Gibbs *et al.* 2010; Maverick 2014; Vijay *et al.* 2016).

Given the evidence of extensive damage already incurred from anthropogenic climate change and pollution, it is possible to anticipate future impacts as global human population continues to grow to a projected over two billion by 2050 (Song *et al.* 2018). Such rapid population growth, in conjunction with rising affluence, translates into roughly 70% greater global demand for food by 2050 and double or triple that by 2100 (Clay 2011; Maddocks *et al.* 2016;). Global requirements for animal-based foods, such as meat and dairy products is expected to soar by 70% (Population Matters 2018; Searchinger *et al.* 2018). At the same time, animal production in wealthy nations will need to be reduced in the order of 90% for beef and 60% for milk in order to avoid the dangerous tipping point of 2 °C rise in global temperature (Springmann *et al.* 2018). The foreseen extension of cultivated landmass in addition to the expected 55% increase in demand for freshwater by 2050 and the concurrent rise of water-stressed areas due to this surge in demand, suggest that, globally, effective functioning of local ecosystems, not to mention worldwide conservation efforts, would be severely undermined by continuous population growth (Tilman *et al.* 2001; Leflaive 2012; Crist *et al.* 2017; WWP 2019).

Not only is there growing demand from more consumers, but these consumers are becoming wealthier and consequently eating higher in the food chain, requiring more goods to be extracted, manufactured, transported, and stored (Rees 2006; Gerbens-Leenes *et al.* 2010; Bonhommeau *et al.* 2013; Weinzettel *et al.* 2013; Sun *et al.* 2018). Regrettably, the biosphere is also threatened by a more globalized and interconnected world (Wiedmann and Lenzen 2018; Watson *et al.* 2018; Tucker *et al.* 2018; Newbold 2019), with expanding infrastructure fragmenting wildlife refuges and disrupting the life support systems of wildlife (Ascensão *et al.* 2018; Laurance 2018; Sloan *et al.* 2019). Similarly, the increased ubiquity and mobility of human populations facilitates the introduction and establishment of invasive species (Owens and Bennett 2000; McKee 2005; Vilà *et al.* 2011).

Sanctuary

At the turn of the millennium, researchers began to focus on the importance of biodiversity hotspots and the mounting pressure from population growth (Myers 1988; Cincotta *et al.* 2000; Myers *et al.* 2000). Cincotta *et al.* (2000) report that as of 1995, the then 25 designated hotspots were home to 1.1 billion people, roughly 19% of the global population. As of 2018, 36 hotspots have been identified (Conservation International 2018), collectively comprising a tiny fraction of the planet – 4.6% of the Earth’s total surface area (15.4% of the land area) – but crucial to biodiversity as they contain 50% of vascular plants and 42% of terrestrial vertebrates as endemic species (Myers *et al.* 2000; Mittermeier *et al.* 2011; Cunningham and Beazley 2018).

Rates of population growth are particularly relevant. Cincotta *et al.* (2000) assess the global growth rate from 1995 to 2000 was 1.3%, but it was 1.6% in the hotspots (Williams 2011). Human populations in the hotspots have continued to grow at a faster rate than the global average (Williams 2011), with more recent research reported by Cunningham and Beazley (2018) concentrating on the changes of population density through the 20-year period of 1995–2015. Since focusing solely on rates of population growth can be misleading (Cincotta *et al.* 2000), Riggio *et al.* (2019) point to the rapidly growing economies as well as the population growth rate of 2.71% (versus the global average of 1.09%) in Protected Areas (PAs)¹ of East Africa. These growing economies will pose critical challenges to the conservation of wildlife, even though much progress has been made in the establishment of the PA network in the region.

Although there is some disagreement about the mechanisms, there is little doubt that increasing human population density (HPD) in biodiversity hotspots directly jeopardizes

¹ Areas in which human activities such as livestock grazing, hunting, logging, or cultivation are restricted (Watson *et al.* 2014).

wildlife; the general consensus is that human presence is a crucial element contributing to the decline of native species and a hazard to conservation and re-wilding (McKee *et al.* 2004; Dietz *et al.* 2007; Luck 2007; McKee 2012; McKee *et al.* 2013 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0006320703000995>; Cunningham and Beazley 2018; Kunzmann 2018). In the period 1995–2015, average population density across all biodiversity hotspots expanded by 36%, from 76 people per square kilometre (ppl/km²) to 103 ppl/km². While having a lower increase in HPD when compared to the global changes for the same period (47% increase, from 38 to 56 ppl/km²), 11 hotspots exhibited average HPD increases higher than the global average. In comparison, in 2015 21 of the 35 biodiversity hotspots showed average HPDs above the global average, with the predicted increase between 2015 and 2020 of 8.93%.

In spite of apparent differences between growth rates and population densities among the hotspots, it is possible to generalize that human population growth has been faster and will continue to be so, in sub-Saharan Africa, followed by south-central Asia, south-eastern Asia and Oceania, with Latin America and the Caribbean also showing considerable increases in population (Williams 2011). As a result, it is predicted that population growth will continue to be a significant threat for priority conservation areas, since PAs are themselves the focus of migration (Wittemyer *et al.* 2008; Naughton-Treves *et al.* 2011; Estes *et al.* 2012). For this reason, despite some evidence to the contrary (Joppa *et al.* 2009), accelerated ecological and wildlife degradation at the boundaries of PAs due to animal husbandry, crop cultivation, and extraction of natural resources are to be expected. At present, more than one-fifth of the world's population inhabits areas of high biodiversity (Diamond 2012), while it is anticipated that 50% of global population will inhabit the tropics by 2050, up from the current approximately 40% (Wilkinson 2014; State of the Tropics 2017). These increases in HPD, accompanied by commensurate increases in environmental pressures, have unfolded in areas that Jones *et al.* (2018) describe as “Protected yet Pressured,” and they report that only 10% of the PAs in their study do not show “intense human pressure” as roads, ranches, mines, and building construction encroach onto lands designated as national or regional parks, reserves, and wilderness sanctuaries (Cannon 2018).

Sri Lanka and the Indian Western Ghats (also known as the Sahyadri Mountain Range) constitute one of the 36 current biodiversity hotspots. Besides being one of the eight “hottest hotspots” owing to its substantial plant and animal endemism (Myers *et al.* 2000), until recently the region had the highest human population density among all biodiversity hotspots (average 335 ppl/km²).² Historically, between 1920 and 1990 40% of this region's natural vegetation was converted

into coffee and tea plantations and at present only approximately 6.3% of the original vegetation remains (Sloan *et al.* 2014). Even though the rates of deforestation are at their lowest in the last 100 years, and are even below the average of other forested parts of India (Reddy *et al.* 2016), the Western Ghats lost more than 750 km² of forest between 2000 and 2016, to the point that there are evident adverse repercussions to biodiversity due to this erratic small-scale deforestation (Dérer 2018).

Krishnadas *et al.* (2018) correlate the forest deficit in the Western Ghats with the economic expansion of the population. They report that the deforestation was mostly outside PA's and that forest protection initiatives in the form of wildlife sanctuaries and designated national parks effectively reduced forest loss by 30%. Moreover, they found that for every 22 km increase in mean distance to human settlements, forest loss decreased by 16%, with even less impact for PAs, which are 36% less likely to lose forest than non-protected forests when contiguous to human habitations. Equally, with every 4 km in increased distance from roads in non-protected areas and PAs, forest loss abated by 21% and 33% respectively. However, the benefits of formal protection weakened by 32% with every increase of 24,000 people above mean local population densities. In fact, where local human populations were higher in the Western Ghats, PAs were 70% more susceptible to forest cover loss than non-PAs, indicating that the difference in rates of forest loss between PAs and non-PAs is highly correlated with local population densities (Dérer 2018; Krishnadas *et al.* 2018). Similarly, Madagascar's diverse and unique forests, among the earliest designated 25 hotspots, are sustaining immense losses due to population growth of more than five-fold since 1960 from around 5 million to 26.3 million in 2018, and meeting the concomitant food requirements is resulting in a prioritization of agricultural production over environmental protection (Myers *et al.* 2000; Clark 2012; PopulationPyramid.net 2017).

Estrada *et al.* (2018) address the equally important issue of the apparent overlap between protected areas, primate distribution, human population density, and the upsurge in human activities with disruptive repercussions for the primates' habitats. By modelling the distribution of protected areas and primate presence in Brazil, Democratic Republic of Congo, Madagascar, and Indonesia, they found that on average, primates had only 38%, 14%, 38%, and 17%, respectively, of their ranges included within the borders of the PAs, leaving the majority of non-human primate populations in unprotected areas.

When considering that subpopulations of the same species are already frequently separated from each other due to human activities and infrastructure (Mascia *et al.* 2014; Spracklen *et al.* 2015; Waeber *et al.* 2016), it is unsurprising that an increase in human population density within 50 km of a PA significantly impacts levels of illegal activities and biodiversity loss (Rovero *et al.* 2015; Estrada *et al.* 2018).

² At this writing it has been supplanted by a hotspot in the Philippines with an average 345 ppl/km² (Cincotta *et al.* 2000; Cunningham and Beazley 2018).

The Ecological Impact of Poverty

Much attention has been paid to climate change (e.g., Watts (2018) reports that one news story about UN biodiversity appears for every 20 about UN climate conferences) and the fact that the role of wealthy nations in exacerbating climate change (Dong *et al.* 2018; Chancel *et al.* 2015) overshadows their impacts on biodiversity conservation (Bellard *et al.* 2012; Pecl *et al.* 2017). Continued population growth in wealthy countries is crucially relevant to the degree of effectiveness achieved by biodiversity and wildlife conservation initiatives and yet concern with increasing population levels as been diminishing since the late 1970s (Foreman and Carroll 2015). Nevertheless, the majority of predicted global population growth is due to occur in less prosperous nations.

More research is required on the nexus between human poverty, habitat destruction, and wildlife depletion, although the link has been reasonably well established (Hassan *et al.* 2015; Watmough *et al.* 2016; Cheng *et al.* 2018). Communities and individuals living in impoverished conditions frequently rely on accessible natural resources and ecosystem services for their subsistence (Sen 2003). Such local impacts on the environment can have alarming ecological repercussions: Subsistence river or coastal fishing can ultimately deplete fish stocks; and subsistence swidden cultivation, nomadic pastoralism and livestock grazing, illegal forest harvesting for building materials and fuel, and overhunting and poaching for household consumption and trade can all lead to serious habitat loss (Donald 2004; Naughton-Treves *et al.* 2007; NASA 2009; Western *et al.* 2009; Klanderud *et al.* 2010; Harrison 2011; Kolankiewicz 2012; Duffy and St John 2013; Serra 2015; Eldridge and Delgado-Baquerizo 2017; Keskar *et al.* 2017; Lin 2017; Jones 2018). In addition, subsistence households seeking employment for their livelihoods are often limited to extractive industries with poor environmental records, such as mining or logging, or industrial cash crop production, e.g., palm oil plantations (Gamu *et al.* 2015).

The hunting of wildlife as a direct meat source is often considered to be a more significant menace to the conservation of biological diversity in tropical forests than deforestation (Dreifus 2006; Fa *et al.* 2002; Gray 2017; Fa *et al.* 2003; Ripple *et al.* 2019; Wilkie *et al.* 2005). The multibillion-dollar trade in bushmeat, especially critical in Africa and south-eastern Asia, is among the most immediate threats to tropical vertebrates (Brashares *et al.* 2004), provoking many cascading trophic effects magnified by expanding human populations (Dirzo 2013; Ripple *et al.* 2014; Ripple *et al.* 2016), increasing commercial trade in wild meat (Robinson and Bennett 2004), and the enhanced efficiency of transport and firearms (Levi *et al.* 2011). With wildlife numbers dwindling outside of protected areas, hunters are turning their attention to parks and reserves and their frontiers (Geldmann *et al.*

2014), and as a result, many wildlife refuges are becoming 'empty landscapes' (Nasi *et al.* 2011; Ripple *et al.* 2015). For instance, Brashares *et al.* (2001) found a marked positive relationship between the size of a given human population and the rate of local extinction of mammals, with the highest incidence of hunting being identified at reserve edges.

Researchers point out the substantial role of bushmeat in the economy of several countries, with around 150 million households in the Global South acquiring meat through bushmeat hunting (Nielsen *et al.* 2017; Nielsen *et al.* 2018). Contrary to the assumption that most hunting activities are for commercial purposes, Nielsen *et al.* (2017, 2018) found that from their sample of 8000 randomly selected households in 24 tropical and sub-tropical countries, 39% hunted bushmeat and 89% of the resulting income is dedicated to household dietary needs. Further, the researchers (Nielsen *et al.* 2017) found a higher than expected reliance on bushmeat, with Nasi *et al.* (2011) reportint the extraction of 6 million tonnes of bushmeat each year from the Congo and Amazon Basins alone. In many cases it is the absence of alternative sources of protein that motivates villagers to become illegal hunters (Loibooki *et al.* 2002; Holmern *et al.* 2007; Knapp 2012), although others deliberately hunt protected animals to sell their body parts for medicinal uses (Lemieux and Clarke 2009; Douglas and Alie 2014).

According to Robinson and Bennett (2000), the carrying capacity of tropical ecosystems, such as the Congo Basin³ and the Amazon for hunting activities translates into one subsistence hunter per square kilometre, even though continuous population growth is a reality in these regions. Since the dependence on bushmeat is a matter of survival with few if any alternatives for many communities, the impacts of hunting on wildlife population are becoming increasingly apparent (Nasi *et al.* 2011). Consequently, reconciling the conservation of species with a regulated and sustainable harvest of bushmeat is indicated. The first steps could be through the implementation of a suitable legal framework, the participation of the public health, private and development sectors, and emphasizing communal involvement to ensure successful development and implementation of conservation approaches. On the other hand, Bennett *et al.* (2007) argue that until we effectively deal with the multiplicative effects of rapid human population growth such as resource depletion, habitat fragmentation and loss, pollution, and the spread of diseases, wildlife populations will continue to decline, thus making successful conservation strategies an unattainable aspiration.

³ In much of the Congo Basin bushmeat is the primary source of protein (Wilkie and Carpenter 1999), especially where livestock husbandry is not a practical option and wild fish not available.

Conclusion

Ultimately, global goals of protected-area coverage and the conservation of wildlife, in general, are unlikely to be met unless PAs are well managed, appropriately located (Butchart *et al.* 2015), better-funded (Cunningham and Beazley 2018), and conservation targets are scientifically and not politically driven.⁴ However, as Cafaro *et al.* (2017) note, achieving these goals will require curbing further population growth as well as decreasing our current global population to an optimum size of 1.5 to 2 billion individuals (Daily *et al.* 1994; Foreman and Carroll 2015).

We have to realize that a world with ever more human beings is also a world with more human encroachment, habitat loss, deforestation, depletion of ecosystems, and climate breakdown, all leading to the inevitable outcome of wildlife depletion. Therefore, it is imperative to facilitate the clarification and public awareness of the link between the growth of global human population and the rise in per capita affluence, and how, in conjunction, these trajectories potentially lead to possibly irreversible negative impacts on our climate and environment. Harding (2018) argues that it is paramount that the goals of global human population stabilization and eventual reduction are included in this agenda. In order to achieve that scenario, we should humanely curb population growth by choosing to act on its deliberate reduction. Paul. R. Ehrlich (2013) has proposed that:

“... the best way to accelerate the move toward such population shrinkage is to give full rights, education, and job opportunities to women everywhere, and provide all sexually active human beings with modern contraception and backup abortion.”

The best strategies to secure a reversal of population growth are currently the advancement of women's rights for equality, political voice, economic independence, as well as the dissolution of patriarchal norms such as child marriage (Engelman 2016). Further, the removal of barriers to contraception and safe and legal abortion (Population Matters 2019), as well as removal any cultural stigma associated with the choice of smaller family sizes or no children are equally important. ‘Educating girls’ and ‘family planning’ are together demonstrably the best methods for the reduction of atmospheric CO₂ and amelioration of consequences of global warming (Project Drawdown 2019). Resources and support from the developed world will play a

⁴ Studies point to a 25–75% area of protection, or even the widely discussed ‘Half-Earth’ with its goal of 50% protection, instead of the current aim of 17% for terrestrial areas and inland water and 10% for marine and coastal areas (Noss *et al.* 2012; Convention on Biological Diversity 2013 <https://www.cbd.int/doc/strategic-plan/targets/compilation-quick-guide-en.pdf>; Büscher *et al.* 2017 <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/oryx/article/halfearth-or-whole-earth-radical-ideas-for-conservation-and-their-implications/C62CCE8DA34480A048468EE39DF2BD05>; Baillie and Zhang 2018))

decisive role in the success of these approaches (Guttmacher Institute 2019; The Overpopulation Project 2019).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest The author declares he has no conflict of interest.

References

- Allan, J. R., Watson, J. E. M., Di Marco, M., O'Bryan, C. J., Possingham, H. P., Atkinson, S. C., and Venter, O. (2019). Hotspots of Human Impact on Threatened Terrestrial Vertebrates. *PLOS Biology* 17(3): e3000158. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000158>.
- Ascensão, F., Fahrig, L., Clevenger, A. P., Corlett, R. T., Jaeger, J. A. G., Laurance, W. F., and Pereira, H. M. (2018). Environmental Challenges for the Belt and Road Initiative. *Nature Sustainability* 1(5): 206–209. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-018-0059-3>.
- Baillie, J., and Zhang, Y.-P. (2018). Space for nature. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 361(6407): 1051. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau1397>.
- Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R., and Milo, R. (2018). The Biomass Distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115(25): 6506–6511. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711842115>.
- Bellard, C., Bertelsmeier, C., Leadley, P., Thuiller, W., and Courchamp, F. (2012). Impacts of Climate Change on the Future of Biodiversity. *Ecology Letters* 15(4): 365–377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01736.x>.
- BENNETT, E. L., BLENCOWE, E., BRANDON, K., BROWN, D., BURN, R. W., COWLISHAW, G., et al (2007). Hunting for Consensus: Reconciling Bushmeat Harvest, Conservation, and Development Policy in West and Central Africa. *Conservation Biology* 21(3): 884–887. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00595.x>.
- Bongaarts, J., and O'Neill, B. C. (2018). Global Warming Policy: Is Population Left Out in the Cold? *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 361(6403): 650–652. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat8680>.
- Bonhommeau, S., Dubroca, L., Le Pape, O., Barde, J., Kaplan, D. M., Chassot, E., and Nieblas, A.-E. (2013). Eating up the World's Food Web and the Human Trophic Level. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110(51): 20617 LP-20620. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305827110>.
- Brashares, J. S., Arcese, P., and Sam, M. K. (2001). Human Demography and Reserve Size Predict Wildlife Extinction in West Africa. *Proceedings. Biological Sciences* 268(1484): 2473–2478. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2001.1815>.
- Brashares, J. S., Arcese, P., Sam, M. K., Coppolillo, P. B., Sinclair, A. R. E., and Balmford, A. (2004). Bushmeat Hunting, Wildlife Declines, and Fish Supply in West Africa. *Science* 306(5699): 1180–1183. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1102425>.
- Burkle, L. A., Marlin, J. C., and Knight, T. M. (2013). Plant-Pollinator Interactions Over 120 Years: Loss of SSpecies, Co-Occurrence, and Function. *Science* 339(6127): 1611–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1232728>.
- Büscher, B., Fletcher, R., Brockington, D., Sandbrook, C., Adams, W. M., Campbell, L., et al (2017). Half-Earth or Whole Earth? Radical Ideas for Conservation, and their Implications. *Oryx* 51(03): 407–410. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605316001228>.
- Butchart, S. H. M., Clarke, M., Smith, R. J., Sykes, R. E., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Harfoot, M., et al (2015). Shortfalls and Solutions for Meeting National and Global Conservation Area Targets. *Conservation Letters* 8(5): 329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12158>.

- Cafaro, P. (2012). Climate Ethics and Population Policy. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change 3(1): 45–61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.153>.
- Cafaro, P. (2018). Immigration ethics for a world of limits. The Overpopulation Project. Retrieved from <https://overpopulation-project.com/2018/09/11/immigration-ethics-for-a-world-of-limits/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Cafaro, P., & Staples, W. (2012). The Environmental Argument for Reducing Immigration into the United States. In Philip Cafaro & Eileen Crist (Eds.), *Life on the Brink: Environmentalists Confront Overpopulation*. University of Georgia Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.pt/books?id=6eOrAAAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=life+on+the+brink&hl=pt-PT&sa=X&ved=0ahUKewj0zNm77_rYAhXFvRQKHwXvCjEQ6AEIKDAA#v=onepage&q. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Cafaro, P., Butler, T., Crist, E., Cryer, P., Dinerstein, E., Kopnina, H., et al (2017). If we want a whole Earth, Nature Needs Half: A Response to Büscher et al. *Oryx* 51(03): 400. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605317000072>.
- Cannon J. C. (2018). Humans are Leaving their Mark on the World's Protected Areas, Study Finds. Mongabay. Retrieved from <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/05/humans-are-leaving-their-mark-on-the-worlds-protected-areas-study-finds/?fbp>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Cardillo, M., Purvis, A., Sechrest, W., Gittleman, J. L., Bielby, J., and Mace, G. M. (2004). Human Population Density and Extinction Risk in the World's Carnivores. *PLoS Biology* 2(7): e197. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0020197>.
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., and Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated Modern Human-Induced Species Losses: Entering the Sixth Mass Extinction. *Science Advances* 1(5): e1400253. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253>.
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., and Dirzo, R. (2017). Biological Annihilation via the Ongoing Sixth Mass Extinction Signaled by Vertebrate Population Losses and Declines. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 114(30): E6089–E6096. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1704949114>.
- Chancel, L., Piketty, T., Milanovic, B., Lakner, C., Segal, P., Anand, S., ... Andrews, R. (2015). Carbon and inequality: from Kyoto to Paris Trends in the Global Inequality of carbon emissions (1998–2013) & prospects for an equitable adaptation fund. Paris. Retrieved from <http://piketty.pse.ens.fr/files/ChancelPiketty2015.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Cheng, X., Shuai, C., Liu, J., Wang, J., Liu, Y., Li, W., and Shuai, J. (2018). Topic Modelling of Ecology, Environment and Poverty Nexus: An Integrated Framework. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 267: 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGEE.2018.07.022>.
- Cincotta, R. P., Wisniewski, J., and Engelman, R. (2000). Human Population in the Biodiversity Hotspots. *Nature* 404(6781): 990–992. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35010105>.
- Clark, M. (2012). Deforestation in Madagascar: Consequences of Population Growth and Unsustainable Agricultural Processes. *Global Majority E-Journal* 3. Retrieved from http://www.bangladeshstudies.org/files/Global_Majority_e_Journal_3_1_Clark.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Clay, J. (2011). Freeze the Footprint of Food. *Nature* 475(7356): 287–289. <https://doi.org/10.1038/475287a>.
- Conly, S. (2016). *One Child : Do we Have a Right to more?* (1st ed.), Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Conservation International (2018). Hotspots – Targeted investment in nature's most important places. Retrieved from <https://www.conservation.org/How/Pages/Hotspots.aspx>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Convention on Biological Diversity. (2013) Quick Guide to the Aichi Biodiversity Targets: Protected Areas Increased and Improved (Target. 11); UNEP: Cambridge, UK, 2012.
- Crist, E., Mora, C., and Engelman, R. (2017). The interaction of human population, food production, and biodiversity protection. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 356(6335): 260–264. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal2011>.
- Cunningham, C., and Beazley, K. (2018). Changes in Human Population Density and Protected Areas in Terrestrial Global Biodiversity Hotspots, 1995–2015. *Land* 7(4): 136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land7040136>.
- Daily, G. C., and Ehrlich, P. R. (1992). Population, sustainability, and Earth's carrying capacity. *BioScience* 42(10): 761–771. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1311995>.
- Daily, G. C., Ehrlich, A. H., and Ehrlich, P. R. (1994). Optimum Human Population Size. *Population and Environment: A Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies* 15(6): 469–475. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF02211719.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Dérier P. (2018). High human population density eliminates the positive effect of forest protection in a tropical biodiversity hotspot. The Overpopulation Project. Retrieved from <https://overpopulation-project.com/2018/06/19/high-human-population-density-eliminates-the-positive-effect-of-forest-protection-in-a-tropical-biodiversity-hotspot/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Diamond, K. (2012). Hotspots: Population Growth in Areas of High Biodiversity. *News Security Beat*. Retrieved from <https://www.newsecuritybeat.org/2012/03/hotspots-population-growth-in-areas-of-high-biodiversity-2/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Dietz, T., Rosa, E. A., and York, R. (2007). Driving the human ecological footprint. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 5(1): 13–18. [https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295\(2007\)5\[13:DTHEF\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2007)5[13:DTHEF]2.0.CO;2).
- Dirzo, R. (2013). Defaunation, alteration of trophic cascades and extinction of ecological processes: Consequences for ecosystems and humans. *Atbc*. Retrieved from <https://atbc.confex.com/atbc/2013/webprogram/Paper1277.html>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Donald, P. F. (2004). Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems. *Conservation Biology* 18(1): 17–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.01803.x>.
- Dong, K., Hochman, G., Zhang, Y., Sun, R., Li, H., and Liao, H. (2018). CO2 emissions, economic and population growth, and renewable energy: Empirical evidence across regions. *Energy Economics* 75: 180–192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERCO.2018.08.017>.
- Douglas, L. R., and Alie, K. (2014). High-value natural resources: Linking wildlife conservation to international conflict, insecurity, and development concerns. *Biological Conservation* 171: 270–277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2014.01.031>.
- Dreifus, C. (2006). A Global Advocate for the Meal That Cannot Speak For Itself. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nytimes.com/2006/06/06/science/06conv.html?mtrref=undefined&gwh=DF4A0F650181C7E11A3EFE7F0F051162&gwt=pay>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Duffy, R., and St John, F. A. V. (2013). Poverty, Poaching and Trafficking: What are the links? https://doi.org/10.12774/eod_hd059.jun2013.duffy.
- Ehrlich, P. (2013). Overpopulation and the Collapse of Civilization. Millennium Alliance for Humanity and the Biosphere (MAHB). Retrieved from <https://mahb.stanford.edu/blog/overpopulation-and-the-collapse-of-civilization/?fbclid=IwAR2BuYxfMFjqVINVI6Rqdnjib6rJJISEoGm5ftZldbfHnvsEHS6nAaGGB3Y>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Ehrlich, P. R., Kareiva, P. M., and Daily, G. C. (2012). Securing Natural Capital and Expanding Equity to Rescale Civilization. *Nature* 486(7401): 68–73. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11157>.
- Eldridge, D. J., and Delgado-Baquerizo, M. (2017). Continental-scale Impacts of Livestock Grazing on Ecosystem Supporting and Regulating Services. *Land Degradation & Development* 28(4): 1473–1481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2668>.

- Engelman, R. (2016). Africa's Population Will Soar Dangerously Unless Woman Are More Empowered. *Scientific American*. Retrieved from <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/africa-s-population-will-soar-dangerously-unless-women-are-more-empowered/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Estes, A. B., Kuemmerle, T., Kushnir, H., Radeloff, V. C., and Shugart, H. H. (2012). Land-Cover Change and Human Population Trends in the Greater Serengeti Ecosystem from 1984–2003. *Biological Conservation* 147(1): 255–263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2012.01.010>.
- Estrada, A., Garber, P. A., Mittermeier, R. A., Wich, S., Gouveia, S., Dobrovolski, R., et al (2018). Primates in peril: The Significance of Brazil, Madagascar, Indonesia and the Democratic Republic of the Congo for Global Primate Conservation. *PeerJ* 6: e4869. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4869>.
- Fa, J. E., Peres, C. A., and Meeuwig, J. (2002). Bushmeat Exploitation in Tropical Forests: An Intercontinental Comparison. *Conservation Biology* 16(1): 232–237. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2002.00275.x>.
- Fa, J. E., Currie, D., and Meeuwig, J. (2003). Bushmeat and Food Security in the Congo Basin: Linkages between Wildlife and People's Future. *Environmental Conservation* 30(01): 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892903000067>.
- FAO. (2018). The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2018 - Meeting the Sustainable Development Goals. Rome. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/3/I9540EN/i9540en.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- FAO. (2019). The State of the World's Biodiversity for Food and Agriculture, J. Bélanger & D. Pilling (eds.). FAO Commission on Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture Assessments. Rome. 572 pp. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/3/CA3129EN/CA3129EN.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Foley, J. A., Defries, R., Asner, G. P., Barford, C., Bonan, G., Carpenter, S. R., et al (2005). Global consequences of land use. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 309(5734): 570–574. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1111772>.
- Foreman, D., & Carroll, L. (2015). *Man Swarm : How Overpopulation is Killing the Wild World*. LiveTrue Books. Retrieved from <https://books.google.pt/books?id=vm0nrgEACAAJ&dq=dave+foreman+man+swarm&hl=pt-PT&sa=X&ved=0ahUKewjfs-b2jPTdAhVRYRoKHXL2Am4Q6AEIKDAA>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Frejka, T. (2017). Half the world's population reaching below replacement fertility. *NIUSSP*. Retrieved from <http://www.niussp.org/article/half-the-worlds-population-reaching-below-replacement-fertility/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Frum, D. (2019). If Liberals Won't Enforce Borders, Fascists Will. *The Atlantic*. Retrieved from https://www.theatlantic.com/magazine/archive/2019/04/david-frum-how-much-immigration-is-too-much/583252/?utm_source=facebook&utm_campaign=the-atlantic-fb-test-840-3-&utm_content=edit-promo&utm_medium=social&fbclid=IwAR3_P6pxh5tEKxXwgwz2UDMkfTCKfjfix8ONX_Cy3ZoA281LV9azA_kljw. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Gamu, J., Le Billon, P., and Spiegel, S. (2015). Extractive Industries and Poverty: A Review of Recent Findings and Linkage Mechanisms. *The Extractive Industries and Society* 2(1): 162–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EXIS.2014.11.001>.
- Geldmann, J., Joppa, L. N., and Burgess, N. D. (2014). Mapping Change in Human Pressure Globally on Land and within Protected Areas. *Conservation Biology* 28(6): 1604–1616. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12332>.
- Gerbens-Leenes, P. W., Nonhebel, S., and Krol, M. S. (2010). Food Consumption Patterns and Economic Growth. Increasing Affluence and the Use of Natural Resources. *Appetite* 55(3): 597–608. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APPET.2010.09.013>.
- Gibbs, H. K., Ruesch, A. S., Achard, F., Clayton, M. K., Holmgren, P., Ramankutty, N., and Foley, J. A. (2010). Tropical Forests were the Primary Sources of New Agricultural Land in the 1980s and 1990s. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107(38): 16732–16737. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0910275107>.
- Global Footprint Network (2018). *Ecological Footprint per Person*. Retrieved from <https://data.footprintnetwork.org/#/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Götmark, F., Cafaro, P., and O'Sullivan, J. (2018). Aging Human Populations: Good for us, Good for the Earth. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 33(11): 851–862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TREE.2018.08.015>.
- Gray, T. (2017). Empty Forest Syndrome – A Hauntingly Quiet Crisis. *Wildlife Alliance*. Retrieved from <https://www.wildlifealliance.org/empty-forest-syndrome/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Guttmacher Institute (2019). *Just The Numbers - The Impact of U.S. International Family Planning Assistance, 2019*. Retrieved from https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/article_files/2019_just_the_numbers_us.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Harding, R. (2018). A Proposal for a United Nations Framework Convention on Population Growth. MAHB. Retrieved from <https://mahb.stanford.edu/blog/un-framework-population/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Harrison, R. D. (2011). Emptying the Forest: Hunting and the Extirpation of Wildlife from Tropical Nature Reserves. *BioScience* 61(11): 919–924. <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2011.61.11.11>.
- Hassan, S. A., Zaman, K., and Gul, S. (2015). The Relationship between Growth-Inequality-Poverty Triangle and Environmental Degradation: Unveiling the Reality. *Arab Economic and Business Journal* 10(1): 57–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AEBJ.2014.05.007>.
- Hickey, C., Rieder, T. N., and Earl, J. (2016). Population Engineering and the Fight against Climate Change. *Social Theory and Practice* 42(4): 845–870 Retrieved from https://www.pdcnet.org/soctheorpract/content/soctheorpract_2016_0042_0004_0845_0870. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Holmern, T., Muya, J., and Røskaft, E. (2007). Local Law Enforcement and Illegal Bushmeat Hunting Outside the Serengeti National Park, Tanzania. *Environmental Conservation* 34(01): 55. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892907003712>.
- Hubacek, K., Baiocchi, G., Feng, K., Muñoz Castillo, R., Sun, L., and Xue, J. (2017). Global Carbon Inequality. *Energy, Ecology and Environment* 2(6): 361–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40974-017-0072-9>.
- Itkowitz, C. (2019). Sen. Mike Lee says we can solve climate change with more babies. *Science says otherwise*. *The Washington Post*. Retrieved from https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/sen-mike-lee-says-we-can-solve-climate-change-with-more-babies-science-says-otherwise/2019/03/26/67f91b2e-4fed-11e9-88a1-ed346f0ec94f_story.html?utm_term=.160d85c5c599. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Jones, B. (2018). Deforestation surges in Virunga National Park in the wake of violence. *Mongabay*. Retrieved from <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/10/deforestation-surges-in-virunga-national-park-in-the-wake-of-violence/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Jones, K. R., Venter, O., Fuller, R. A., Allan, J. R., Maxwell, S. L., Negret, P. J., and Watson, J. E. M. (2018). One-third of global protected land is under intense human pressure. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 360(6390): 788–791. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aap9565>.
- Joppa, L. N., Loarie, S. R., and Pimm, S. L. (2009). On Population Growth Near Protected Areas. *PLoS ONE* 4(1): e4279. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004279>.
- Keskar, A., Raghavan, R., Kumkar, P., Padhye, A., and Dahanukar, N. (2017). Assessing the Sustainability of Subsistence Fisheries of Small Indigenous Fish Species: Fishing Mortality and Exploitation

- Of Hill Stream Loaches in India. *Aquatic Living Resources* 30: 13. <https://doi.org/10.1051/alr/2016036>.
- Klanderud, K., Mbolatiana, H. Z. H., Vololomboahangy, M. N., Radimbison, M. A., Roger, E., Totland, Ø., and Rajeriarison, C. (2010). Recovery of Plant Species Richness and Composition After Slash-and-Burn Agriculture in a Tropical Rainforest in Madagascar. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19(1): 187–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-009-9714-3>.
- Knapp, E. J. (2012). Why Poaching Pays: A Summary of Risks and Benefits Illegal Hunters Face in Western Serengeti, Tanzania. *Tropical Conservation Science* 5(4): 434–445. <https://doi.org/10.1177/194008291200500403>.
- Kolankiewicz, L. (2012). Overpopulation versus Biodiversity How a Plethora of People Produces a Paucity of Wildlife. In Philip Cafaro & Eileen Crist (Eds.), *Life on the Brink - Environmentalists Confront Overpopulation* (pp. 75–91). Georgia University Press. Retrieved from <https://books.google.pt/books?id=heOrAAAAQBAJ&pg=PA75&dq=life+on+the+brink+environmentalists+overpopulation+versus+biodiversity+how+a+plethora+of+people+produces+a+paucity+of+life+hl=pt-PT&sa=X&ved=0ahUKUkwjvu5vD6NTgAhWS4IUkHVT3CecQ6AEIKzAA#v=onepage&q=lif>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Kopnina, H., and Washington, H. (2016). Discussing Why Population Growth is Still Ignored or Denied. *Chinese Journal of Population Resources and Environment* 14(2): 133–143. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10042857.2016.1149296>.
- Krishnadas, M., Agarwala, M., Sridhara, S., and Eastwood, E. (2018). Parks Protect Forest Cover in a Tropical Biodiversity Hotspot, but High Human Population Densities can Limit Success. *Biological Conservation* 223: 147–155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2018.04.034>.
- Kroodsma, D. A., Mayorga, J., Hochberg, T., Miller, N. A., Boerder, K., Ferretti, F., et al (2018). Tracking the global footprint of fisheries. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 359(6378): 904–908. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao5646>.
- Kuhlemann, K. (2018a). “Any size Population will do?” The Fallacy of Aiming for Stabilization of Human Numbers. *The Ecological Citizen* 1(2): 181–189 Retrieved from <https://www.ecologicalcitizen.net/pdfs/v01n2-11.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Kuhlemann, K. (2018b). Complexity, creeping normalcy and conceit: Sexy and unsexy catastrophic risks. *Foresight*, FS-05-2018-0047. <https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-05-2018-0047>.
- Kunzmann, K. (2018). How Population and Global Resources are Reaching a Boiling Point. *MDMagazine*. Retrieved from <https://www.mdmag.com/post-conference-perspectives/ats-2018-perspectives/how-population-and-global-resources-are-reaching-a-boiling-point>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Laurance, W. (2018). If you Can’t Build Well, then Build Nothing at All. *Nature* 563(7731): 295–295. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07348-3>.
- LeDoux, L. (2009). Does Population Growth Impact Climate Change? *Scientific American*. Retrieved from <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/population-growth-climate-change/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Leflaive, X. (2012). Water Outlook to 2050: The OECD calls for early strategic action. *Global water forum*. Retrieved from <http://www.globalwaterforum.org/2012/05/21/water-outlook-to-2050-the-oecd-calls-for-early-and-strategic-action/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Lemieux, A. M., and Clarke, R. V. (2009). The International Ban On Ivory Sales and its Effects on Elephant Poaching in Africa. *British Journal of Criminology* 49(4): 451–471. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bj/czpz030>.
- Levi, T., Shepard, G. H., Ohl-Schacherer, J., Wilmers, C. C., Peres, C. A., and Yu, D. W. (2011). Spatial Tools for Modeling the Sustainability of Subsistence Hunting in Tropical Forests. *Ecological Applications* 21(5): 1802–1818. <https://doi.org/10.1890/10-0375.1>.
- Lin, C. (2017). ‘Slash-and-Burn Agriculture’ Is Exactly as Bad as it Sounds. *Odissey*. Retrieved from <https://www.theodysseyonline.com/slash-burn-agriculture-bad-rainforest-global-warming>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Loibooki, M., Hofer, H., Campbell, K. L. I., and East, M. L. (2002). Bushmeat Hunting by Communities Adjacent to the Serengeti National Park, Tanzania: The importance of Livestock Ownership and Alternative Sources of Protein and Income. *Environmental Conservation* 29(03): 391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892902000279>.
- Luck, G. W. (2007). A review of the Relationships between Human Population Density and Biodiversity. *Biological Reviews* 82(4): 607–645. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185x.2007.00028.x>.
- Machovina, B., and Feeley, K. J. (2014). Taking a Bite Out of Biodiversity. *Science* 343(6173): 838–838. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.343.6173.838-a>.
- Machovina, B., Feeley, K. J., and Ripple, W. J. (2015). Biodiversity Conservation: The key is Reducing Meat Consumption. *Science of The Total Environment* 536: 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2015.07.022>.
- Maddocks, A., Otto, B., & Luo, T. (2016). The Future of Fresh Water. *World Resources Institute*. Retrieved from <https://www.wri.org/blog/2016/06/future-fresh-water>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Marques, A., Martins, I. S., Kastner, T., Plutzar, C., Theurl, M. C., Eisenmenger, N., et al (2019). Increasing Impacts of Land Use on Biodiversity and Carbon Sequestration Driven by Population and Economic Growth. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3(4): 628–637. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0824-3>.
- Mascia, M. B., Pailler, S., Krithivasan, R., Roshchanka, V., Burns, D., Mlotha, M. J., et al (2014). Protected Area Downgrading, Downsizing, and Degazettement (PADDD) in Africa, Asia, and Latin America and the Caribbean, 1900–2010. *Biological Conservation* 169: 355–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2013.11.021>.
- Maverick, T. (2014). China’s Hunger for Soy to Exceed Global Supply. *Wall Street Daily*. Retrieved from <https://www.trefis.com/stock/soyb/articles/265113/china%E2%80%99s-hunger-for-soy-to-exceed-global-supply/2014-11-17>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- McKee, J. K. (2005). *Sparing Nature : The conflict between Human Population Growth and Earth’s Biodiversity*. Rutgers University Press. Retrieved from https://books.google.pt/books?id=omglyInG8qEC&dq=sparing+nature&hl=pt-PT&source=gbs_navlinks_s. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- McKee, J. (2012). The human population footprint on global biodiversity. In Philip Cafaro & Eileen Crist (Ed.), *Life on the Brink: Environmentalists Confront Overpopulation* (p. 342). The University of Georgia Press. Retrieved from <http://www.riddledchain.org/FootprintsChapter.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- McKee, J. K., Sciulli, P. W., Fooce, C. D., and Waite, T. A. (2004). Forecasting Global Biodiversity Threats Associated with Human Population Growth. *Biological Conservation* 115(1): 161–164. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207\(03\)00099-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3207(03)00099-5).
- McKee, J., Chambers, E., and Guseman, J. (2013). Human Population Density and Growth Validated as Extinction Threats to Mammal and Bird Species. *Human Ecology* 41(5): 773–778. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9586-8>.
- Mittermeier, R. A., Turner, W. R., Larsen, F. W., Brooks, T. M., and Gascon, C. (2011). Global biodiversity conservation: The critical role of hotspots. In *Biodiversity hotspots*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, pp. 3–22. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20992-5_1.
- Murtaugh, P. A., and Schlax, M. G. (2009). Reproduction and the Carbon Legacies of Individuals. *Global Environmental Change* 19(1): 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.10.007>.

- Myers, N. (1988). Threatened biotas: "Hot spots" in tropical forests. *The Environmentalist* 8(3): 187–208. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02240252>.
- Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B., and Kent, J. (2000). Biodiversity Hotspots for Conservation Priorities. *Nature* 403(6772): 853–858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501>.
- NASA (2009). Subsistence Agricultural Expansion Threatening Biodiversity. Land-Cover/Land-Use Change Program (LCLUC). Retrieved from <https://lcluc.umd.edu/hotspot/subsistence-agricultural-expansion-threatening-biodiversity>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Nasi, R., Taber, A., and Van Vliet, N. (2011). Empty Forests, Empty Stomachs? Bushmeat and Livelihoods in the Congo and Amazon Basins. *International Forestry Review* 13(3): 355–368. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554811798293872>.
- Naughton-Treves, L., Kammen, D. M., and Chapman, C. (2007). Burning Biodiversity: Woody Biomass use by Commercial and Subsistence Groups in Western Uganda's Forests. *Biological Conservation* 134(2): 232–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2006.08.020>.
- Naughton-Treves, L., Alix-Garcia, J., and Chapman, C. A. (2011). Lessons About Parks and Poverty from a Decade of Forest Loss and Economic Growth around Kibale National Park, Uganda. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108(34): 13919–13924. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1013332108>.
- Newbold, T. (2019). The Trouble with Trade. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3(4): 522–523. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-0816-3>.
- Nielsen, M. R., Pouliot, M., Meilby, H., Smith-Hall, C., and Angelsen, A. (2017). Global Patterns and Determinants of the Economic Importance of Bushmeat. *Biological Conservation* 215: 277–287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2017.08.036>.
- Nielsen, M. R., Meilby, H., Smith-Hall, C., Pouliot, M., and Treue, T. (2018). The Importance of Wild Meat in the Global South. *Ecological Economics* 146: 696–705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2017.12.018>.
- NOSS, R. F., DOBSON, A. P., BALDWIN, R., BEIER, P., DAVIS, C. R., DELLASALA, D. A., et al (2012). Bolder Thinking for Conservation. *Conservation Biology* 26(1): 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01738.x>.
- Ogutu, J. O., Piepho, H.-P., Said, M. Y., Ojwang, G. O., Njino, L. W., Kifugo, S. C., and Wargute, P. W. (2016). Extreme Wildlife Declines and Concurrent Increase in Livestock Numbers in Kenya: What are the Causes? <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163249>.
- Ord, T. (2014). Chapter 3. Overpopulation or Underpopulation? Retrieved from <http://amirrorclear.net/files/overpopulation-or-underpopulation.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Owens, I. P., and Bennett, P. M. (2000). Ecological Basis of Extinction Risk in Birds: Habitat Loss versus Human Persecution and Introduced Predators. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 97(22): 12144–12148. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.200223397>.
- Oxfam International (2015). Extreme Carbon Inequality. Retrieved from <https://www.oxfam.org/en/research/extreme-carbon-inequality>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Pecl, G. T., Araújo, M. B., Bell, J. D., Blanchard, J., Bonebrake, T. C., Chen, I.-C., et al (2017). Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 355(6332): eaai9214. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aai9214>.
- Phillips, A. (2018). Thesis immigration ethics: Creating flourishing, just, and sustainable societies in a world of limits. Submitted by Colorado State University. Retrieved from https://overpopulationproject.files.wordpress.com/2018/09/phillips_addison.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Phillips, A., Cafaro, P., Holmes, K. S., Iii, R., & Shulman, S. (2018). Immigration Ethics: Creating Flourishing, just, and Sustainable Societies in a World of Limits. Fort Collins. Retrieved from https://overpopulationproject.files.wordpress.com/2018/09/phillips_addison.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Pimentel, D., Harman, R., Pacenza, M., Pecarsky, J., and Pimentel, M. (1994). Natural Resources and an Optimum Human Population. *Population and Environment* 15(5): 347–369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02208317>.
- Pison, G. (2017). Is the Earth over-populated. *The Conversation*. Retrieved from <https://theconversation.com/is-the-earth-over-populated-86555>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Population Matters (2018). How to Feed the World Without Destroying it. *Population Matters News*. Retrieved from <https://populationmatters.org/news/2018/12/07/how-feed-world-without-destroying-it>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Population Matters (2019). Solutions. Retrieved from <https://populationmatters.org/solutions>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Population Media Center (2018). As Human Population Grows, Global Biodiversity Continues Its Freefall. Retrieved from https://info.populationmedia.org/populationletters/human-population-grows-global-biodiversity-freefalls?fbclid=IwAR1-pF9eIXHPPhXO2f0cIXyZJA0t9pgUzYXjB0ta4Xrt1o5r23D0F75_tLI. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Population Reference Bureau (2018). 2018 World Population Data Sheet. Retrieved from https://www.prb.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/2018_WPDS.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- PopulationPyramid.net (2017). Madagascar's Population. Retrieved from <https://www.populationpyramid.net/madagascar/2017/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Project Drawdown (2019). Summary of Solutions by Overall Rank. Retrieved from <https://www.drawdown.org/solutions-summary-by-rank>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Randers, J., Rockström, J., Stoknes, E., Golüke, U., Collste, D., & Cornell, S. (2018). *Stockholm Resilience Centre Transformation is feasible*. Retrieved from <https://www.stockholmresilience.org/download/18.51d83659166367a9a16353/1539675518425/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Reddy, C. S., Jha, C. S., and Dadhwal, V. K. (2016). Assessment and Monitoring of Long-Term Forest Cover Changes (1920–2013) in Western Ghats biodiversity hotspot. *Journal of Earth System Science* 125(1): 103–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-015-0645-y>.
- Rees, W. E. (2006). Globalization, Trade and Migration: Undermining sustainability. *Ecological Economics* 59(2): 220–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2005.12.021>.
- Rieder, T. N. (2016). *Toward a small family ethic : how overpopulation and climate change are affecting the morality of procreation*. (Springer, Ed.) (illustrated). Retrieved from https://books.google.pt/books/about/Toward_a_Small_Family_Ethic.html?id=8TN9DAAAQBAJ&redir_esc=y. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Rieder, T. (2017). Science proves kids are bad for earth. Morality suggests we stop having them. *NBC News*. Retrieved from <https://www.nbcnews.com/think/opinion/science-proves-kids-are-bad-earth-morality-suggests-we-stop-ncna820781>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Riggio, J., Jacobson, A. P., Hijmans, R. J., and Caro, T. (2019). How Effective are the Protected Areas of East Africa? *Global Ecology and Conservation* 17: e00573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GECCO.2019.E00573>.
- Ripple, W. J., Estes, J. A., Beschta, R. L., Wilmers, C. C., Ritchie, E. G., Hebblewhite, M., et al (2014). Status and Ecological Effects of the World's Largest Carnivores. *Science* 343(6167): 1241484–1241484. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1241484>.
- Ripple, W. J., Newsome, T. M., Wolf, C., Dirzo, R., Everatt, K. T., Galetti, M., et al (2015). Collapse of the World's Largest Herbivores.

- Science Advances 1(4): e1400103. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400103>.
- Ripple, W. J., Abernethy, K., Betts, M. G., Chapron, G., Dirzo, R., Galetti, M., et al (2016). Bushmeat Hunting and Extinction Risk to the World's Mammals. *Royal Society Open Science* 3(10): 160498. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160498>.
- Ripple, W. J., Wolf, C., Newsome, T. M., Galetti, M., Alamgir, M., Crist, E., et al (2017). World scientists' warning to humanity: A second notice. *BioScience* 67(12): 1026–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix125>.
- Ripple, W. J., Wolf, C., Newsome, T. M., Betts, M. G., Ceballos, G., Courchamp, F., et al (2019). Are we eating the world's megafauna to extinction? *Conservation Letters*: e12627–10.1111/conl.12627.
- Robinson, J. G., and Bennett, E. L. (2000). *Hunting for sustainability in tropical forests*, Columbia University Press.
- Robinson, J. G., and Bennett, E. L. (2004). Having your wildlife and eating it too: An analysis of hunting sustainability across tropical ecosystems. *Animal Conservation* 7(4): 397–408. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1367943004001532>.
- Roser, M., & Ritchie, H. (2019). "Yields and Land Use in Agriculture". Retrieved from: <https://ourworldindata.org/yields-and-land-use-in-agriculture> Accessed 11 Aug 2019 [Online Resource].
- Rovero, F., Mtui, A., Kitegile, A., Jacob, P., Araldi, A., and Tenan, S. (2015). Primates Decline Rapidly in Unprotected Forests: Evidence from a Monitoring Program with Data Constraints. *PLOS ONE* 10(2): e0118330. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0118330>.
- Searchinger, T., et al. (2018). *Creating a Sustainable Food Future*. World Resources Institute. Retrieved from <https://www.wri.org/publication/creating-sustainable-food-future>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Sen, B. (2003). Drivers of Escape and Descent: Changing Household Fortunes in Rural Bangladesh. *World Development* 31(3): 513–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(02\)00217-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00217-6).
- Serra, G. (2015). Over-grazing and desertification in the Syrian steppe are the root causes of war. *The Ecologist*. Retrieved from <https://theecologist.org/2015/jun/05/over-grazing-and-desertification-syrian-steppe-are-root-causes-war>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Sloan, S., Jenkins, C. N., Joppa, L. N., Gaveau, D. L. A., and Laurance, W. F. (2014). Remaining Natural Vegetation in the Global Biodiversity Hotspots. *Biological Conservation* 177: 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2014.05.027>.
- Sloan, S., Campbell, M. J., Alamgir, M., Engert, J., Ishida, F. Y., Senn, N., et al (2019). Hidden Challenges for Conservation and Development along the Trans-Papuan Economic Corridor. *Environmental Science & Policy* 92: 98–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENVSCI.2018.11.011>.
- Song, X.-P., Hansen, M. C., Stehman, S. V., Potapov, P. V., Tyukavina, A., Vermote, E. F., and Townshend, J. R. (2018). Global Land Change from 1982 to 2016. *Nature* 560(7720): 639–643. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0411-9>.
- Spracklen, B. D., Kalamandeen, M., Galbraith, D., Gloor, E., and Spracklen, D. V. (2015). A Global Analysis of Deforestation in Moist Tropical Forest Protected Areas. *PLOS ONE* 10(12): e0143886. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143886>.
- Springmann, M., Clark, M., Mason-D'Croz, D., Wiebe, K., Bodirsky, B. L., Lassaletta, L., et al (2018). Options for Keeping the Food System within Environmental Limits. *Nature* 562(7728): 519–525. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0594-0>.
- Stallings, B. (2002). Population growth: The multiplication factor. *Minnesotans for sustainability*. Retrieved from http://www.mnforsustain.org/stallings_b_stop_population_growth.htm. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- State of the Tropics (2017) *Sustainable infrastructure for the tropics*. James Cook University, Townsville. Retrieved from https://www.jcu.edu.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0004/473503/SOTT-2017-Infrastructure-Report.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Sun, J., Mooney, H., Wu, W., Tang, H., Tong, Y., Xu, Z., et al (2018). Importing Food Damages Domestic Environment: Evidence from Global Soybean Trade. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 115(21): 5415–5419. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1718153115>.
- The Overpopulation Project (2019). *Solutions to overpopulation and what you can do*. Retrieved from <https://overpopulation-project.com/solutions-to-overpopulation-and-what-you-can-do/>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- The World Bank (2019). *CO₂ emissions (kt)*. *Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center*, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, United States. Retrieved from <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.ATM.CO2E.KT?end=2018&start=1960&view=chart>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Tilman, D., Fargione, J., Wolff, B., D'Antonio, C., Dobson, A., Howarth, R., et al (2001). Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 292(5515): 281–284. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1057544>.
- Tucker, M. A., Böhnig-Gaese, K., Fagan, W. F., Fryxell, J. M., Van Moorter, B., Alberts, S. C., et al (2018). Moving in the Anthropocene: Global reductions in terrestrial mammalian movements. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 359(6374): 466–469. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9712>.
- UN Environment (2019). *Global Environment Outlook – GEO-6: Healthy Planet, Healthy People*. Nairobi. Retrieved <https://wedocs.unep.org/handle/20.500.11822/27539>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- UNDESA (2017a). *World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables*. ESA/P/WP/248. Retrieved from https://population.un.org/wpp/Publications/Files/WPP2017_KeyFindings.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- UNDESA (2017b). *Government policies to raise or lower the fertility level*. *United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs Population Division*. Retrieved from https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/popfacts/PopFacts_2017-10.pdf. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Union of Concerned Scientists (1992). *1992 World Scientists' Warning to Humanity*. Retrieved from <https://www.ucsusa.org/sites/default/files/attach/2017/11/World%20Scientists%27%20Warning%20to%20Humanity%201992.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Vidal, J. (2019). The Rapid Decline Of The Natural World Is A Crisis Even Bigger Than Climate Change. *The HuffPost*. Retrieved from https://www.huffpost.com/entry/nature-destruction-climate-change-world-biodiversity_n_5c49e78ce4b06ba6d3bb2d44?fbclid=IwAR1K5DWS2Dt2v4M6KTUxiLepY4qOMr9ENqgNELVKEdA6Pj6ZacXAA4qinrE. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Vijay, V., Pimm, S. L., Jenkins, C. N., and Smith, S. J. (2016). The Impacts of Oil Palm on Recent Deforestation and Biodiversity Loss. *PLOS ONE* 11(7): e0159668. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159668>.
- Vilá, M., Espinar, J. L., Hejda, M., Hulme, P. E., Jarošík, V., Maron, J. L., et al (2011). Ecological Impacts of Invasive Alien Plants: A Meta-Analysis of their Effects on Species, Communities and Ecosystems. *Ecology Letters* 14(7): 702–708. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x>.
- Waeber, P. O., Wilmé, L., Mercier, J.-R., Camara, C., and Lowry, P. P. (2016). How Effective have Thirty Years of Internationally Driven Conservation and Development Efforts been in Madagascar? *PLOS ONE* 11(8): e0161115. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161115>.
- Watmough, G. R., Atkinson, P. M., Saikia, A., and Hutton, C. W. (2016). Understanding the Evidence Base for Poverty–Environment Relationships using Remotely Sensed Satellite Data: An example from Assam, India. *World Development* 78: 188–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WORLDDEV.2015.10.031>.

- Watson, J. E. M., Dudley, N., Segan, D. B., and Hockings, M. (2014). The Performance and Potential of Protected Areas. *Nature* 515(7525): 67–73. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13947>.
- Watson, J. E. M., Venter, O., Lee, J., Jones, K. R., Robinson, J. G., Possingham, H. P., and Allan, J. R. (2018). Protect the Last of the Wild. *Nature* 563(7729): 27–30. <https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-07183-6>.
- Watts, J. (2018). Habitat loss threatens all our futures, world leaders warned. *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2018/nov/17/habitat-loss-biodiversity-wildlife-climate-change?fbclid=IwAR1xgZxpwl p44AptIP2NGJG2PP5OWyXc0N1TjdoJscet9bMckClnoqkxM>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Weinzettel, J., Hertwich, E. G., Peters, G. P., Steen-Olsen, K., and Galli, A. (2013). Affluence Drives the Global Displacement of Land Use. *Global Environmental Change* 23(2): 433–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2012.12.010>.
- Western, D., Groom, R., and Worden, J. (2009). The impact of Subdivision and Sedentarization of Pastoral Lands on Wildlife in an African Savanna Ecosystem. *Biological Conservation* 142(11): 2538–2546. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.BIOCON.2009.05.025>.
- Wiedmann, T., and Lenzen, M. (2018). Environmental and Social Footprints of International Trade. *Nature Geoscience* 11(5): 314–321. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0113-9>.
- Wilkie, D. S., and Carpenter, J. F. (1999). Bushmeat Hunting in the Congo Basin: An Assessment of Impacts and Options for Mitigation. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 8(7): 927–955. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008877309871>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Wilkie, D. S., Starkey, M., Abernethy, K., Effa, E. N., Telfer, P., & Godoy, R. (2005). Role of Prices and Wealth in Consumer Demand for Bushmeat in Gabon, Central Africa. *Conservation Biology* (Vol. 19). Retrieved from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00372.x>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Wilkinson, A. (2014). Expanding tropics will play greater global role, report predicts. *Science*. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2014/06/expanding-tropics-will-play-greater-global-role-report-predicts>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- Williams, J. (2011). Human Population and the Hotspots Revisited: A 2010 Assessment. In *Biodiversity hotspots: distribution and protection of conservation priority areas* (pp. 61–81). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20992-5_4
- Wittemyer, G., Elsen, P., Bean, W. T., Burton, A. C. O., and Brashares, J. S. (2008). Accelerated human population growth at protected area edges. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 321(5885): 123–126. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1158900>.
- Wong, T., & Yeoh, B. S. A. (2003). Fertility and the Family: An Overview of Pro-Natalist Population Policies in Singapore. Singapore. Retrieved from <https://cpb-us-e1.wpmucdn.com/share.nanjing-school.com/dist/1/43/files/2017/10/Singapore-population-policies-25xuy3q.pdf>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- WWAP (UNESCO World Water Assessment Programme). (2019). The united NationsWorld water development report 2019: Leaving no one behind. Paris, UNESCO. Retrieved from <https://en.unesco.org/water-security/wwap/wwdr/2019>. Accessed 11 Aug 2019
- WWF. (2018). Living Planet Report - 2018: Aiming Higher. Grooten, M. and Almond, R.E.A.(Eds). WWF, Gland, Switzerland. Retrieved from j.
- Zhou, C., Elshkaki, A., and Graedel, T. E. (2018). Global Human Appropriation of Net Primary Production and Associated Resource Decoupling: 2010–2050. *Environmental Science & Technology* 52(3): 1208–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b04665>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.